I. Call to Order
Speaker Brady called the meeting to order at 7:32 p.m.

The following members were present: Andrew Barksdale, Dushyanth Biyyala, Jocelyn Burney, Brittany Clark, Kizzmekia Corbett, Zach Ferguson, Anthony Ferrara, John Guzek, Andrew Lucas, Peter McClelland, Stephanie Mellini, Adam Norris, John O'Connor, Austin Root, Elise Rosa, Veeral Saraiya, Michael Smalenberger, Will Stelpflug, Laura Tollini, Harrison Touby, Bennett Vass, Steven Walter, Conor Winters, Connor Brady

The following members were absent: John Caison, Stephen Deal, Charlie Greene, Celina Holson, Kim Niver, Prerak Patel, Walker Swain, Max Williams

The following members arrived late: Brittany Best, Ivy Hardy

The following members left early: Andrew Barksdale, Dushyanth Biyyala, Anthony Ferrara, Peter McClelland, John O'Connor, Elise Rosa, Veeral Saraiya, Michael Smalenberger

II. Approval of the Minutes
Representative O'Connor moved to approve the minutes. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

III. Reports of the Officers of Congress
a. Speaker's Report
Speaker Brady welcomed everybody back. He went to ASG with President Lambden and the GPSF president as well as Chair Burney. They also have 43 applicants for external appointments and interview would take place Thursday and Friday. Office hours remain up in the air. He stood for queries. None.

b. Speaker Pro Tempore's Report
Speaker Pro Tempore Guzek said that he would be looking to launch outreach efforts Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday of the following week, including pit sitting and an open forum. He stood for queries. None.

c. Finance Committee Chair's Report
Chair Best was not present.

d. Rules and Judiciary Committee Chair's Report
Chair Stelpflug said that there were two bills on general order, first by President Lambden and second by Representative Winters. He also welcomed the guests in the room. He stood for queries. None.

**e. Oversight and Advocacy Committee Chair’s Report**
Chair Burney said that the committee would begin seeing external appointments next week, with interviews beginning this week. She stood for queries.

Representative Winters asked if people who pass interviews go to O&A next Tuesday, and then full congress. That was correct. No further queries.

**f. Ethics Committee Chair’s Report**
Chair Clark requested confirmation of residence in the district of representation. She stood for queries. None.

**IV. Receipts of Petitions and Messages**

**a. Message from the Student Body President**
President Lambden said that the board of trustees has five new members, a new chair, secretary and vice-chair. He worked to improve student representation, and now he sits on the finance and infrastructure committee instead of the university affairs committee so students have a direct vote for tuition and fees. The vice-president now sits on the university affairs, and the director of state and external relations sits has speaking rights on the external affairs committee. He also dealt with the sexual assault policy on campus this summer. He was a member of a task force that looked at the policy and provided recommendations to the cabinet, which will continue throughout the semester. He also engaged in conversations about infrastructure and process for sexual assault, and how to change the campus culture. He also attended ASG, and introduced a resolution that condemns everything the state legislature did this summer, including the gun bill, tuition increases, and voter ID laws. He received notification that the Orange County board of elections would be looking to move the Rams Head polling center off-campus – he prevented this from happening next year, but it would happen later in the future. He also explained his veto about election reform – he was against it because it would cost $3000 - $5000. He stood for queries.

Representative Ferguson said that the estimate he got for the new voting system would be $400. He asked where President Lambden got the $3000 - $5000 estimate. He said that it came from the director of student life, Tony Patterson.

Representative Root asked the director of state and external affairs what actions she took to advocate for students in the NC General Assembly. She said the budget was considerably more favorable after she met hands on with representatives.

He asked President Lambden where the director of state and external affairs was over the summer. He said she was here for the first half and travelling for the second
half. Representative Root asked if her being here would’ve influenced the ultimate budget for the UNC system. President Lambden said no.

Representative Root asked if he took any actions to advocate for the out of state students. President Lambden confirmed that he did, but that he knew if he went to the NCGA with a British accent, he’d do more harm than good.

Representative Smalenberger asked what measures have been taken to prevent sexual violence. President Lambden said he didn’t believe it could be stopped, but that the university should focus on what to do in the future instead of what has been done in the past. He wants to make sure the process is simplified and that people know what resources are available to them.

Representative Smalenberger asked about prevention, and President Lambden said that he wants to reach out to influential groups – fraternities, athletes, etc. – to see if they’d get One Act training.

Representative Smalenberger asked if there would be any effort to hear from the student population. President Lambden said that he’d be sending out an e-mail breaking down what is happening and asking for further suggestions.

Representative Root asked how the $38 million budget cut would affect UNC. President Lambden said that though he doesn’t know for sure, he knows it will be bad. The university is going to attempt to raise money.

Representative Winters asked him to explain the process of how cuts will be made. President Lambden said that he doesn’t know the timeline, but cuts coming from the UNC system as a whole will be dispersed to each campus, and from there it’s up to the university to see where those cuts should be placed. No further queries.

b. Message from the Graduate and Professional Student Federation President
The GPSF President introduced herself as a fourth year philosophy Ph.D. student. She considered it her mission to remind people that GPSF students make up 37% of campus. She had done a lot of outreach during orientation for first years to give them an idea of what is going on at UNC. She also wants to address the issues of what students academic standing is while they’re abroad – how much should they pay? She also wanted to work on a second semester check-in, because when students enter graduate school they don’t often understand what resources are available to them. She stood for queries. None.

c. Message from the Student Body Treasurer
Treasurer Farley was not present.

d. Message from the Student Attorney General and Honor Court Chair
The honor system recently added a section to their website called “report” where reports will be published – the summer report was recently added. They are in the
process of recruiting staff counsel, who will be certified before they are allowed to serve. They discussed the lawyer bill going through the NC General Assembly, which now permits students to hire a lawyer. Academic and conduct cases shouldn’t be affect, but drug possession and DUIs could be affect on appeal. Normal cases won’t be affected, but in a few special cases some policies and practices will be changed. They stood for queries. None.

Chair Burney moved to allow Speaker Brady to reorder the agenda as necessary. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

V. Introduction of Concurrent Resolutions
a. SCR-95-033 -- A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO EXPRESS APPRECIATION FOR HOUSEKEEPING, MAINTENANCE, AND FACILITIES SERCIECS STAFF MEMBERS
Speaker Pro Tempore Guzek presided while Speaker Brady presented. He explained that this bill specifically declared the week of September 8th to be International Housekeeping Week to show gratitude for the work housekeeping does. Most of the work takes place behind the scenes, but housekeeping takes good care of everybody.

Chair Best moved the previous. Seconded. Consent. No objections – move to roll call vote.

The following members voted for the resolution: Andrew Barksdale, Brittany Best, Dushyanth Biyyala, Jocelyn Burney, Brittany Clark, Kizzmekia Corbett, Zach Ferguson, Anthony Ferrara, John Guzek, Ivy Hardy, Andrew Lucas, Peter McClelland, Stephani Mellini, Adam Norris, John O’Connor, Austin Root, Elise Rosa, Veeral Saraiya, Michael Smalenberger, Will Stelpflug, Laura Tollini, Harrison Touby, Bennett Vass, Steven Walter, Conor Winters, Connor Brady.

Nobody voted against the resolution.

The resolution passed.

VI. Public Comment Period
Vice-Chair McClelland moved to allow Nate Pencook to speak. Representative Smalenberger seconded. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

Nate Pencook was the head of the statewide College Republicans. He said that he came to encourage intellectual diversity on the UNC campus, which has a reputation for liberalism. He wanted to discourage the “political pep rally” that’s occurring where opposing viewpoints aren’t being heard from. He said that political gridlock is a major problem in the government, which is cause by polarization from not understanding opposing viewpoints. He hoped congress would reconsider funding for the College Republicans.

Tommy Lassiter, on the executive board of the College Republicans spoke as well. He said that he doesn’t need to go into how unfair and ludicrous it is for a right-
leaning organization to have to deal with student congress every time it wants funding just to have all of it cut. He said he doesn’t need to address the weak and pitiful attempt by certain members of congress to justify their actions. He came to give the message that as a community and university, UNC is better than this. UNC student government should be promoting the free exchange of ideas and diversity of opinion necessary for a complete education. He said that the issue was not about money, it was about his belief that student congress treat every organization that comes before it with fairness, respect, and brotherhood.

Alex Thomas also spoke, saying that when he was a junior in high school, his AP history teacher required them to read one very liberal and one very conservative version of history, in order to spread political diversity. He wanted to ask congress to keep the same idea in mind, and understand that if UNC doesn't provide the resources to spread diversity the debate will continue to be one-sided.

VII. Veto Messages from the Student Body President
a. Message Regarding Veto of SCB-95-004 – A BILL TO CONDUCT ELECTIONS BY PREFERENTIAL BALLOT
President Lambden explained that he decided to veto the bill because it’d be harmful to the election process. He said that it’s important for candidates to present their platforms, and this bill takes away from that. He was in support, however, of reducing the campaigning period and financing, and he’d support meaningful legislation to do that. He thought, though, that reform should ensure a higher number of well-educated voters.

VIII. Special Orders
a. Motion to Override the Student Body President’s Veto of SCB-95-004
The following members voted yes to overturn the veto: Brittany Clark, Zach Ferguson, John Guzek, Ivy Hardy, Andrew Lucas, Stephanie Mellini, Adam Norris, John O’Connor, Austin Root, Elise Rosa, Veeral Saraiya, Will Stelpflug, Laura Tollini, Steven Walter, Conor Winters

The following members voted no to overturn the veto: Andrew Barksdale, Brittany best, Dushyanth Biyyala, Jocelyn Burney, Anthony Ferrara, Peter McClelland, Harrison Touby, Bennett Vass, Connor Brady

The following members abstained from voting: Kizzmekia Corbett, Michael Smalenberger

Due to not obtaining the 2/3 requirement, the veto was upheld.

Chair Best moved to hear the funding request for UNC-Hillel first, because the College Republicans request was projected to take a long time. Seconded by Chair Burney. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.
IX. General Orders Calendar
a. Bills
i. SCB-95-048 – A BILL TO SUBSEQUENTLY APPROPRIATE UNC HILLEL

UNC Hillel explained that they planned to bring an interfaith comedy act to UNC in November, and they were requesting funding for the act and associated costs. UNC Hillel would fund all other costs. The point of the act would be to bring diversity to campus and create and open community. Many representatives from the partnering organizations were also present. They wanted to remind congress that technically, though the request is for $6000, congress would be appropriating only $1000 per group associated with the event.

Chair Best reminded congress that the reason UNC Hillel was requesting $6000 was that the code states that if groups collaborate, only one group may request the funding – UNC Hillel was representing many groups, they’re not the only ones requesting the funds.

Representative Smalenberger asked if they were charging admission. UNC Hillel said they wanted the interfaith act to be accessible to everybody, so they didn’t want to charge admission. He asked if they were looking at outside funding since there are off-campus groups involved. UNC Hillel said that that would be a difficult process, because they need the funding as soon as possible. They also said they’d be covering all publicity as well as building and production fees, which would be $500 - $1000. They’ve already received $300 from the Jewish studies department, but any extra money they receive would have to go towards the additional costs.

Representative Smalenberger said that he’d support keeping the funding at $4000, simply because there are other venues from which UNC Hillel could get money.

UNC Hillel said that many of the groups they’re partnering with don’t have national funding, so they weren’t able to ask for the $100 donation for co-sponsoring that they had originally planned. They said they’ve also struggled to find funds elsewhere.

Representative Stelpflug asked if any event like this has been put on before as collaboration, and what the projected attendance would be. UNC Hillel said that they could definitely fill the 375-person room because of the many organizations involved. He also asked for the cost breakdown, but UNC Hillel explained that there wasn’t one – the act costs a $6000 flat fee, which covers travel, lodging, honoraria, etc. The original cost was $9000, but UNC Hillel had been able to talk them down.

Vice-Chair Root said that the average amount given to groups was around $4000 the previous year, and they only have $140,000 to give out all year. He asked if they’d still be able to put on the event without getting the extra $2000 in funding from student congress. UNC Hillel said that they wouldn’t be able to cover that through the organization, and they’re trying to find small amounts, but they may have to cancel their programming.
Vice-Chair Root asked if getting $2000 in fundraising would make a difference. UNC Hillel said that they’d have to continue contacting other resources.

Vice-Chair McClelland asked the group to speak about this event’s affect on the vitality of the organization. UNC Hillel said that they were specifically committed to helping Jewish students develop their identity, which also requires an interfaith community on campus. This event would help create that.

Chair Burney asked if the other organizations were planning on coming to congress with funding request for their own organizations. UNC Hillel said that they were not planning on returning, but other groups might.

Representative Winters asked if it was a complete package deal – either all three speakers come, or none do. UNC Hillel confirmed that. Representative Winters asked about hearing this at a later date, but Chair Best advised against that.

Representative Tollini asked if they were trying to raise $1000 - $2000 for the cost of the venue, and if they had to pay the extra $2000 they’d have to double their fundraising for the event. That was correct. UNC Hillel said that they’d probably still need $1000 - $2000 for the event outside of the $6000 for the speaker.

Representative Hardy asked about dues, but UNC Hillel said that charging dues went very much against the core values of the organization. Representative Hardy advised asking for a $10 per member donation – with over 200 members, the extra $2000 could easily be made up. UNC Hillel said they only have 40 active members.

Representative Smalenberger asked if they’d be amenable to receiving fundraising money. They’d have to pay it back at the end of the year, but it’s essentially an interest free loan. UNC Hillel said that if it was that or nothing, they’d prefer fundraising. UNC Hillel agreed that $500 would be useful amount.

Representative Smalenberger moved to add $500 to fundraising. Seconded.

Vice-Chair McClelland asked if UNC Hillel would be the only one required to pay back the $500, or if it’d be disperse among groups. Treasurer Farley explained that only UNC Hillel could be required to pay, it was up to them to converse with other groups. UNC Hillel said that this was acceptable to them.

Representative Smalenberger moved the previous. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the amendment passed.

Representative Smalenberger moved the previous. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the bill passed for $4500.

ii. SCB-95-037 – A BILL TO SUBSEQUENTLY APPROPRIATE COLLEGE REPUBLICANS
Vice-Chair McClelland said that the nature of being in UNC-CH meant that discussions tended to be more liberal. The aim of their group was to promote intellectual diversity and bring more opinions to the discussion. He cited the code in saying that UNC should promote diversity of ideas and opinions with funding. He said that to their event everybody is welcome; there would be no fee. They also would expect a good turnout. He stated that this funding is vital to the group because it promotes conservative values on campus. He said that they discussed topics and agreed that gun control and environmental issues are two prevalent discussions on campus and the group wanted to add to that with their speakers. He said they have some generated funds, but those go towards things that Congress doesn’t and shouldn’t fund.

Vice-Chair Root said that it’s not uncommon to see over 100 groups request money during fall appropriations. 65% of their budget goes to subsequent, 32.5% goes to be allocated in the fall and spring, which means $140,000 is available for fall. They try to allocate this money to the many, many groups requesting funding in the most efficient manner, which general means large cuts and respect for the vitality, impact, and diversity. He expressed his concern over the educational validity of Anne McElhinney, noting that she had been referred to as “as reliable as Wikipedia,” but he stated that none of the cuts had anything to do with the message of the College Republicans – only with the quality of the speakers, how much money they cost, and how much money congress has to give out. He supports the decision made in finance to cut College Republicans down to $3000.

Representative Touby said that the request issue should not be as polarized as it is. He supported Vice-Chair Root in saying that the cuts weren’t about the politics, but about how much money congress had.

Speaker Pro Tempore Guzek expressed his appreciation for the College Republicans work on campus, but echoed the idea that the reality is about how much money student congress can give to each group. He didn’t think that $3000 was an unreasonable request, and he didn’t believe congress had done any serious injustice to anybody.

Chair Best cautioned congress about speaking towards the value of a speaker.

Representative Stelpflug said that the fact of the matter was that $5000 was a lot to pay for a speaker, and bringing Anne McElhinney to campus isn’t anything UNC could afford in the current financial condition.

Vice-Chair McClelland said that he believed the speaker was valuable because she was well known for her right-leaning views on environmental issues. He said that he so far had been the only member of congress to cite the code and show how the request complies with the funding guidelines. He said he was the only one citing the rules.
Representative Hardy said that she appreciated the opinion of the College Republicans, but congress simply didn’t have the funds. She suggested that the College Republicans had perhaps dropped the ball in not requesting this funding during annual appropriations.

Vice-Chair McClelland said they didn’t request during annual because they didn’t have any speakers at the time. They had recently come into a new executive board and they didn’t have any plans yet.

Representative Hardy said that the College Republicans should respect the fact that they weren’t the only club to get cut, and what they wanted for their speakers was much higher on average than other groups.

Representative Winters pointed out how standard the cuts made were. He said the average amount given to groups during the last finance meeting was $2300. This request was 130% that amount. It was the second largest request, and also the second largest cut. The average cuts for speakers are around 50% of the request, and while this isn’t exactly 50% it falls with the normal range. He didn’t believe that congress owed College Republicans any more money just because their opinions differ from the majority of campus. He encouraged them to look into fundraising.

Representative Winters moved the previous. Seconded. Chair Best objected. Representative Winters withdrew.

Vice-Chair McClelland said that he doesn’t think there’s any entitlement. He cited the code again and insisted that others cite the code as well, because he was the only one doing that.

Representative Smalenberger yielded to Chair Best, who said they had $124,000 to appropriate, and have $90,000 left, having used 32.3% of the budget.

Representative Smalenberger explained to Vice-Chair McClelland that the vitality of an organization speaks to the organizations ability to continue existing without the funding. Vice-Chair McClelland said that they would continue to exist, but the speakers are vital to what their club is.

Representative Smalenberger said that vitality isn’t about the group’s position or what they pursue, but about the ability to function as an organization. He asked about fundraising possibilities. Vice-Chair McClelland said he didn’t see fundraising as a viable option.

Representative Smalenberger moved the previous. Seconded. Consent. Vice-Chair McClelland objected. Representative Smalenberger did not withdraw his motion. Chair Best opposed voting and Vice-Chair McClelland abstained. Congress moved into a period of voting.
Chair Best opposed. Chair Burney, Speaker Brady, and Vice-Chair McClelland abstained from voting. The bill passed!

iii. SCB-95-042 – A BILL TO SUBSEQUENTLY APPROPRIATE UNCONTROLLABLES UNControllables treasurer Hoopes said that it was explained to him they could either have this speaker, or two other domestic speakers. He said that bringing in a foreign speaker is a long and arduous process, and getting the money earlier would make it possible for him to come, and then they could fundraise for other speakers.

Representative Smalenberger yielded to Chair Stelpflug. Chair Stelpflug said that he was confused about the unnamed speaker. Treasurer Hoopes explained that he emailed the biography of the speaker to congress, and presented it both times. He explained that he’s an academic that was present during the June uprising in Brazil as a participant and eyewitness.

Chair Stelpflug said that it seemed unlikely a grad student would charge this much money for an honorarium and asked the treasurer to shed light on that. Treasurer Hoopes said that he believes it’s because coming to speak at UNC takes a lot of time away from work and studying, as well as the need for a mastery of English.

Representative Smalenberger moved to previous. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

iv. SCB-95-031 – A BILL TO CODIFY THE POSITION OF DIRECTOR OF STATE AND EXTERNAL RELATIONS
Chair Burney presided, Treasurer Farley presented. He explained that this bill would codify Shelby’s (the director of state and external affairs) position.

Vice-Chair Root moved to amend the bill. He believed the role of director of state and external affairs was an important role, and since the NCGA meets primarily over the summer he felt that the duties warrant the requirement of staying over the summer.

Speaker Brady opposed that amendment. He said it seemed like an attack on one person for not being in town over the summer, and the amendment opens up a lot of questions, particularly about stipends – which most people are opposed to. The people staying in town are paid a stipend.

Speaker Pro Tempore Guzek felt that there was a need for the UNC representative to be available during the summer, just based on the incredible amount of legislation that was passed this previous summer, when nobody was present.

Chair Best agreed with Speaker Brady about the stipends. She said there would probably be people in the position who can and can’t afford to stay in town for the summer, and it’s not fair to make the position less accessible by requiring them to stay in town. Especially since some students may live in Raleigh anyway, which is
where the NCGA is. She didn’t think it was necessary right now to throw requirements at the position.

Representative Touby defended Shelby, saying that she was able to do her job five out of the six months the NCGA met.

Representative Ferguson said that they’re not even considering business until next May, so it seems that some summers might be necessary to have somebody present in Raleigh.

Vice-Chair Root said that this requirement wouldn’t affect this year’s position; it’d be next year’s. The next year’s director of state and external affairs wouldn’t take office until April, which is four months after the long session of NCGA has started. He felt that it was important to make sure somebody is constantly here advocating for students.

Chair Stelpflug supported Speaker Brady on the stipend issue, and didn’t think it’d be fair to add them to the list unless they were going to give the position a stipend.

Shelby pointed out the fact that even though she was out of town she still did a lot of work and continued to represent UNC in front of NCGA through phone calls and e-mails.

Chair Stelpflug moved to previous on the amendment. Speaker Brady objected. Chair Stelpflug withdrew his motion.

Speaker Brady said that all the people listed are congressionally approved, and this is not one of those. He didn’t think congress needed any more responsibility in choosing the presidents cabinet. He moved the previous. Representative Winters objected. Speaker Brady withdrew his motion.

Representative Winters said that he didn’t understand how requiring the position to “maintain their duties” would put more pressure on the job, if Shelby insisted that she met the amendment entirely this summer.

Treasurer Farley said that he and Shelby were both fine with the amendment. Representative Winters moved to vote on the amendment. Seconded. Consent. No objections – congress moved into a period of voting. Speaker Brady, Speaker Pro Tempore Guzek, Chair best, Chair Stelpflug, Vice-Chair Root, and Representative Touby opposed. The amendment passed.

Moved to previous on the bill as a whole. Seconded., Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

v. SCB-95-032 – A BILL TO CHANGE VETO OVERRIDE PROCEDURES IN STUDENT CONGRESS
Representative Winters explained that this added a period of debate on veto overrides, which wasn’t previously the case.

Representative Ferguson moved the previous. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the bill passed.

X. Consent Calendar
Speaker Brady moved adoption of the consent calendar. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

XI. Introduction of Concurrent Resolutions
a. SCR-95-034 – A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO INVITE CHANCELLOR CAROL L. FOLT TO DELIVER A STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY ADDRESS TO THE 95th STUDENT CONGRESS
Chair Burney moved the previous. Seconded. Consent. No objections – the motion passed.

b. SCR-95-053 – A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO KEEP HANDGUNS OFF CAMPUS
Speaker Pro Tempore Guzek explained that a bill came through the NCGA that allowed conceal and carry weapons on campus. This bill would support keeping guns off of campus, as 75% of the crime on campus involves cars, and the threat of having a gun in the car would be dramatically increased. He stood for queries. None.

Vice-Chair Root moved the previous. Seconded.

The following members voted for the resolution: Jocelyn Burney, Brittany Clark, Kizzmekia Corbett, Zach Ferguson, John Guzek, Ivy Hardy, Stephanie Mellini, Adam Norris, Austin Root, Will Stelpflug, Laura Tollini, Harrison Touby, Bennett Vass, Steven Walter, Conor Winters, Connor Brady

The following members abstained from voting: Andre Lucas

Nobody opposed. The motion passed!

c. SCR-95-054 – A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO EXPRESS CONTINUED SUPPORT OF GENDER NON-SPECIFIC HOUSING AT UNC CHAPEL HILL
Chancellor Holden Thorp as well as the board of trustees approved of gender non-specific housing, and this resolution would express continuing support for the idea despite its veto without any student input.

Chair Best moved to previous. Vice-Chair Root objected. Chair Best didn’t withdraw her motion. Congress voted on voting – Vice-Chair Root opposed. The motion passed.

The following members voted for the resolution: Jocelyn Burney, Kizzmekia Corbett, Brittany Clark, Zach Ferguson, John Guzek, Ivy Hardy, Andrew Lucas, Stephanie
Mellini, Adam Norris, Laura Tollini, Harrison Touby, Bennett Vass, Steven Walter, Conor Winters, Connor Brady

The following members abstained from voting: Austin Root, Will Stelpflug

The motion passed.

**XII. Adjournment**  
Chair Best moved to adjourn. Seconded.

The following members voted to adjourn: Jocelyn Burney, Kizzmekia Corbett, Brittany Clark, Zach Ferguson, John Guzek, Ivy Hardy, Andrew Lucas, Stephanie Mellini, Adam Norris, Laura Tollini, Harrison Touby, Bennett Vass, Steven Walter, Austin Root, Will Stelpflug

The following members voted against adjourning: Connor Brady

The following members abstained from voting: Conor Winters